

SUMMARY OF INTERVIEW

Exhibits and/or Demonstrations

None.

Identification of Claims Discussed

Claims 9, 10 and 16.

Identification of Prior Art Discussed

Miller et al. (US 5,427,886) and Hsu et al. (US 6,051,305).

Proposed Amendments

Minor amendments of the method claims to actively recite the method steps.

Principal Arguments and Other Matters

Applicants' representatives argued that, in contrast to the cited references, the presently claimed invention has two separate components in the "imaging composition," namely a toner and a reactant component, and that the printable substrate has a complementary reactant to the reactant in the imaging composition. The system thereby forms two images, one formed by the toner, and another formed by the reaction of the reactant with the complementary reactant. The second image provides evidence of tampering and that providing the complementary reactant in the substrate can further prevent fraud by revealing if non-genuine paper were used for printing. In contrast, Miller discloses formation of only a single image, and does not disclose that a complementary reactant is present in the substrate. Hsu et al. does not provide the disclosure missing from Miller et al.

Results of Interview

The Examiner noted that the prior art would need to be reviewed once again, but that the arguments presented herein would be favorably considered if Applicants' understandings could be confirmed.